	Case 1:23-cv-00910-KES-SAB Docum	ent 24	Filed 09/26/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
1 2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	PATRICK NEILL,	N	o. 1:23-cv-00910 G	SSA (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,			EDGING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	V.	RI Di	EQUESTS FOR ST EFENDANTS'S M	ATUS ON OTION TO DISMISS	
14	STEPHANIE CLENDININ, et al.,	(E	CF Nos. 22, 23)		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff, a civil detainee proceeding pro se, and in forma pauperis seeks relief pursuant to				
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983 This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28				
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).				
20	Before this Court are what are effectively Plaintiff's requests for status on Defendants'				
21	motion to dismiss. See ECF Nos. 22, 23. As of June 24, 2024, Defendants' motion to dismiss				
22	was fully briefed. See generally ECF Nos. 14, 18, 20 (motion to dismiss; opposition; reply,				
23	respectively).				
24	The Court herein acknowledges Plaintiff's requests for status. Plaintiff is				
25	informed is informed that the Court will address Defendants' motion to dismiss on its merits and				
26	will do so in due course.				
27	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court herein ACKNOWLEDGES				
28	Plaintiff's requests for status on its review of	of Defend	dants' motion for sa	nctions. <u>See</u> ECF Nos.	
		1			

	Case 1:23-cv-00910-KES-SAB Document 24 Filed 09/26/25 Page 2 of 2
1	22, 23.
2	The parties are informed that the Court will consider Defendants' motion to dismiss
3	in due course.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: September 26, 2025 /s/ Gary S. Austin
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	<u> </u>